

The problem:

Fluid motion in between two plates due to sudden movement of top plate at t = 0.

Assumptions:

Uniform density, pressure, Newtonian fluid, isothermal

Governing Eqn: The Navier-Stokes Eqns can be reduced to only one equation for u(y,t)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \mu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} & \theta \equiv u - \frac{y}{h} U_0 & \rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \mu \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} \\ u(y,t=0) = 0 & \Longrightarrow & \theta(y,t=0) = -y U_0/h \\ u(y=0,t) = 0 & & \theta(y=0,t) = 0 \\ u(y=h,t) = U_0 & & \theta(y=h,t) = 0 \end{array}$$

Transformation of u(y,t) to $\theta(y,t)$ simplifies the BC's.

Separation of variables for θ

$$\theta = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\pi^2 k^2}{h^2} t\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi k y}{h}\right)$$

where

$$A_{k} = \frac{2}{h} \int_{0}^{h} -\frac{y}{h} U_{0} \sin(\frac{\pi k y}{h}) dy = \frac{2U_{0}}{\pi k} (-1)^{k}$$
$$u(y,t) = \frac{y}{h} U_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \frac{2U_{0}}{\pi k} \sin(\frac{\pi k y}{h}) \exp(-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\pi^{2} k^{2}}{h^{2}} t)$$

For large t or $t \gg \rho h^2/\mu$ (diffusion time)

$$u(y,t) \approx \frac{y}{h} U_0 - \frac{2U_0}{\pi} \sin(\frac{\pi y}{h}) \exp(-\frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\pi^2}{h^2} t)$$

or in non-dimensional form:

$$\frac{u}{U_0} = f\left(\frac{y}{h}, \frac{\mu t}{\rho h^2}\right)$$

Finite-element solution with 3 equal elements l = h/3. Solution in terms of θ :

$$\begin{split} \theta &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \theta_4 \end{pmatrix} (t) \\ &\int_0^h dy \psi(y) \left[\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \mu \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} \right] = 0 \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^h \rho \theta \psi dy - \underbrace{\psi(y) \mu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} |_0^h}_{(II)} + \underbrace{\int_0^h \mu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} dy}_{(III)} = 0 \end{split}$$

where (II) = 0 due to BC's. (note that BC's for ψ are the same as θ)

$$\begin{split} (I) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sum_{(e)} \int_{0}^{l} dy_{1} \rho [\psi_{1} (1 - \frac{y_{1}}{l}) + \psi_{2} \frac{y_{1}}{l}] [\theta_{1} (1 - \frac{y_{1}}{l}) + \theta_{2} \frac{y_{1}}{l}] \\ (I) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{4}) \frac{\rho l}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \\ \theta_{3} \\ \theta_{4} \end{bmatrix} \\ (III) &= \sum_{(e)} \int_{0}^{l} \mu \frac{\psi_{2} - \psi_{1}}{l} \frac{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}}{l} dy \\ (III) &= \frac{\mu}{l} (\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{4}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \\ \theta_{3} \\ \theta_{4} \end{bmatrix} \\ \Psi^{T} \begin{bmatrix} M \frac{dQ}{dt} + kQ \end{bmatrix} = 0 \end{split}$$

But $\psi_1 = \psi_4 = 0$, so only the 2nd and 3rd eqns in $M \frac{dQ}{dt} + kQ = 0$ are needed.

 \Rightarrow

$$\left[egin{array}{c} heta_2 \ heta_3 \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{c} c_1 \ c_2 \end{array}
ight] e^{\lambda t}$$

here λ is eigenvalue, $\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is eigenvector.

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} -3 - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} & 2 \\ 2 & -3 - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

 $\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\-1\end{array}\right]$

 $\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right]$

 $\implies \lambda_1 = -5\alpha, \ \lambda_2 = -2$ eigenvector for λ_1 is

eigenvector for $\lambda_2 1$ is

The general solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-5\alpha t} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} e^{-\alpha t}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} t=0:\; -\frac{1}{3}U_0=c_1+c_2\\ -\frac{2}{3}U_0=-c_1+c_2\\ \Longrightarrow\\ c_1=U_0/6,\; c_2=-U_0/2. \mbox{ Namely} \end{array}$

$$\frac{u_2}{U_0} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}e^{-5\alpha t} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\alpha t}$$
$$\frac{u_3}{U_0} = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{6}e^{-5\alpha t} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\alpha t}$$

Exact solution u/U_0

y/h	$\frac{t}{h^2/\nu} = 0.01$	$\frac{t}{h^2/\nu} = 0.1$	$\frac{t}{h^2/\nu} = 1.0$
1/3	2.4603e-6	0.1332	0.3333
2/3	1.8422e-2	0.4559	0.6666

Finite element with 3 linear elements

y/h	$\frac{t}{h^2/\nu} = 0.01$	$\frac{t}{h^2/\nu} = 0.1$	$\frac{t}{h^2/\nu} = 1.0$
1/3	3.6240e-6	0.2572	0.3333
2/3	0.1285	0.5775	0.6667

Note that in the above, the time integration was done exactly for the finite element formulation. In a software package like FIDAP, this is done by numerical approximation.

TIME INTEGRATION SCHEMES

Finite element formulation of unsteady/dynamic problems will result in Partial DE – a set of coupled Ordinary differential eqns.

$$A\frac{du}{dt} + Ku = f$$

where A and K are matrices

$$u = \left(\begin{array}{c} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ u_n \end{array} \right)$$

is solution vector.

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ f_n \end{pmatrix}$$

is forcing vector, with IC for f, one needs to integrate in time.

Consider a one-degree-of-freedom ODE

$$\frac{du}{dt} = f(u(t), t) \equiv F(t)$$

Let the exact soln be u(t), which we usually do not know.

Numerical approximation for $u|_{t=t_k}\approx u(t_k)\rightarrow u^k$

Finite difference formulation:

Assume $u^0, u^1, ..., u^k$ are known numerically, how to obtain u^{k+1} ?

$$\frac{u^{k+1} - u^k}{\Delta t} = aF^{k+1} + bF^k + cF^{k-1} + \dots$$

If $a \neq 0$, implicit scheme;

a = 0, explicit scheme.

How to determine a, b, c?

Taylor expansion

$$u^{k+1} \approx u(t^{k+1}) = u(t^k + \Delta t) = u^k + \frac{du}{dt} \Delta t + \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} \frac{\Delta t^2}{2} + \Delta(\Delta t^3)$$
$$\frac{u^{k+1} - u^k}{\Delta t} = \frac{du}{dt} + \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} \frac{\Delta t}{2} + \frac{d^3u}{dt^3} \frac{\Delta t^2}{6} + \Delta(\Delta t^3)$$

$$\frac{u^{k+1} - u^k}{\triangle t} = F + F' \frac{\triangle t}{2} + F'' \frac{\triangle t^2}{6} + \triangle(\triangle t^3)$$

$$aF^{k+1} + bF^k + cF^{k-1} = a(F^k + F' \bigtriangleup t + F'' \frac{\bigtriangleup t^2}{2} + \bigtriangleup(\bigtriangleup t^3)) + bF^k + c(F^k - F' \bigtriangleup t + F'' \frac{\bigtriangleup t^2}{2} + \bigtriangleup(\bigtriangleup t^3))$$

Then the leading order 1 = a + b + c, $O(\triangle t): \frac{1}{2} = a - c$, $O(\triangle t^2): \frac{1}{6} = \frac{a}{2} + \frac{c}{2}$ and so on.

The 1st-order scheme:

Only the leading order is balanced. Ex. a = c = 0, b = 1 $\frac{u^{k+1}-u^k}{\Delta t} = F^k$: forward Euler scheme Ex. a = 1, b = c = 0 $\frac{u^{k+1}-u^k}{\Delta t} = F^{k+1}$: backward Euler scheme (implicit)

<u>The 2nd-order scheme</u>: coefficients up to $O(\Delta t)$ are balanced.

Ex. a = 0, b = 3/2, c = -1/2 $\frac{u^{k+1}-u^k}{\Delta t} = \frac{3}{2}F^k - \frac{1}{2}F^{k-1}: \text{ 2nd-order Adams-Bashforth scheme.}$ Ex. a = 1/2, b = 1/2, c = 0 $\frac{u^{k+1}-u^k}{\Delta t} = \frac{1}{2}F^{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}F^k: \text{ 2nd-order Crank-Nicholson scheme or Trapezoidal scheme.}$

Using the above procedure, one can develop high-order scheme.

FIDAP only implemented Forward Euler, 1st/explicit Backward Euler, 1st/implicit Trapezoidal scheme, 2nd/implicit

ACCURACY/ TRUNCATION ERROR

Consider forward Euler scheme: $\frac{u^{k+1}-u^k}{\Delta t} = F^k = f(u^k, t^k)$

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \Delta t f(u^k, t^k)$$

 But

$$u(t^{k+1}) = u(t^k) + \frac{du}{dt}|_{t=t^k} \bigtriangleup t + O(\bigtriangleup t^2)$$
$$u(t^{k+1}) = u(t^k) + \bigtriangleup t f(u(t^k), t^k) + O(\bigtriangleup t^2)$$

Then

$$u(t^{k+1}) - u^{k+1} = u(t^k) - u^k + \Delta t[f(u(t^k), t^k) - f(u^k, t^k)] + O(\Delta t^2)$$

Define truncation error:

$$\epsilon^k = u(t^k) - u^k = exact - numerical approx.$$

Assume f(u, t) is a well-behaved function $(\partial f/\partial u$ is finite)

$$|f(u(t^k), t^k) - f(u^k, t^k)| \le A|u(t^k) - u^k| = A|\epsilon^k|$$

$$\begin{split} |\epsilon^{k+1}| &\leq |\epsilon^k| + \Delta t A |\epsilon^k| + R \\ &= (1 + A \bigtriangleup t) |\epsilon^k| + R \\ &= (1 + A \bigtriangleup t)^2 |\epsilon^{k-1}| + (1 + A \bigtriangleup t) R + R \\ &\leq (1 + A \bigtriangleup t)^2 |\epsilon^{k-1}| + (1 + A \bigtriangleup t) R + R \\ &\leq (1 + A \bigtriangleup t)^2 |\epsilon^{k-1}| + R \sum_{i=0}^k (1 + A \bigtriangleup t)^i \\ &= k \frac{(1 + A \bigtriangleup t)^{k+1} - 1}{A \bigtriangleup t} \end{split}$$

Where $|\epsilon^0|$ is assumed zero initially.

$$|\epsilon^{N}| \leq R \frac{1}{A \bigtriangleup t} \left[\left(1 + \frac{AT}{N} \right)^{N} - 1 \right] \leq \frac{R}{A \bigtriangleup t} (e^{LT} - 1)$$

Since $e^{LT} - 1$ is fixed, does not change with Δt

$$|\epsilon^N| = O(\Delta t)$$

This is why Euler scheme is known as 1st order method.

Convergence is obtained as $\Delta t \to 0$.

Stability:

A stable numerical scheme is one for which errors from any source (round-off, truncation, mistakes) do not grow in the sequence of numerical procedures as the calculation proceeds from one time step to the next.

Ex. Consider the differential eqn

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + c\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$$

where c is some characteristic velocity.

Let u_j^n represent numerical approximation to $u(x_j, t^n)$. Use forward Euler scheme in time

$$rac{u_j^{n+1}-u_j^n}{\Delta t}=-crac{\partial u}{\partial x}|^n=-crac{u_{j+1}^n-u_{j-1}^n}{2\Delta x}|^n$$

We have

$$u_{j}^{n+1} = u_{j}^{n} - \frac{c\Delta t}{2\Delta x}(u_{j+1}^{n} - u_{j-1}^{n})$$

Question: Is this numerical scheme stable?

Let numerical soln to the difference eqn be N,

exact soln to the difference eqn be D, round-off error be ϵ

$$N = D + \epsilon$$

Then ϵ satisfies the same eqn as u.

$$\epsilon_j^{n+1} = \epsilon_j^n - \frac{\alpha}{2} (\epsilon_{j+1}^n - \epsilon_{j-1}^n)$$

where $\alpha \equiv c\Delta t / \Delta x$

Now assume that the error is of the form (stability analysis, Fourier analysis in space)

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_j^n &= e^{at} e^{ikx_j} \\ e^{a(t^n + \Delta t)} e^{ikx_j} &= a^{at^n} e^{ikx_j} - \frac{\alpha}{2} (e^{ik\Delta x} - e^{-ik\Delta x}) e^{at^n} e^{ikx_j} \end{aligned}$$

Growth factor

$$e^{a\Delta t} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}2i \cdot \sin(k\Delta x) = 1 - i\alpha \sin(k\Delta x)$$

$$\begin{split} &|1-i\alpha\sin(k\Delta x)|<1 \text{ to be stable},\\ &\sqrt{1+\alpha^2\sin^2(k\Delta x)}<1 \text{ impossible for any }\alpha. \end{split}$$

The scheme is unconditionally unstable.

Consider a modification to the scheme

$$u_j^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(u_{j-1}^n + u_{j+1}^n) - \frac{c\Delta t}{2\Delta x}(u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n)$$

Then the growth factor is $|\cos(k\Delta x) - i\alpha\sin(k\Delta x)| < 1$
 $\sqrt{\cos^2(k\Delta x) + \alpha^2\sin^2(k\Delta x)} < 1$
 $\sqrt{\frac{1+\cos(2k\Delta x)}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}(1 - \cos(2k\Delta x))} < 1$
 $\sqrt{\frac{1+\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{1-\alpha^2}{2}\cos(2k\Delta x)} < 1$
Satisfied if $\alpha < 1$.

The new scheme is conditionally stable.

$$\alpha = c\Delta t / \Delta x < 1$$

known as CFL condition, Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy Condition.

How about using implicit (backward) Euler scheme?

$$u_{j}^{n+1} = u_{j}^{n} - \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} (u_{j+1}^{n+1} - u_{j-1}^{n+1})$$
$$e^{a\Delta t} = 1 - i\alpha \sin(k\Delta x) e^{a\Delta t}$$

$$|e^{a\Delta t}| = \left|\frac{1}{1+i\alpha\sin(k\Delta x)}\right| \le 1$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2\sin^2(k\Delta x)}} \le 1$$

Always true. Therefore the backward Euler scheme is unconditionally stable.

Considerations for the choice of Δt : compromise the following factors numerical truncation error (small Δt) numerical stability (small Δt) round-off error (large Δt) total run time (perfer large Δt)